arXiv:1604.05683v1 [math.CA] 19 Apr 2016

BEYOND QUARTIC HAMILTONIANS

P.L. ROBINSON

Abstract. We investigate the Hamiltonian system generated by a homogeneous binary polynomial U of order greater than four. In particular, we study the circumstances under which the associated Hessian is a Weierstrass $\wp$ function and find that the vanishing of two covariants of U is involved.

Introduction

In [5] we showed that along the Hamilton curves generated by a homogeneous cubic or quartic polynomial in the plane, a suitable rescaling of the associated Hessian satisfies the first-order differential equation

$$\overset{\circ}{F}{}^2 = 4F^3 - g_2F - g_3$$

characteristic of a Weierstrass $\wp$ function, where g_2 and g_3 are constants of the motion. In the present paper we consider as Hamiltonian a homogeneous binary polynomial of higher order and find that the situation is a little more complicated. Along each Hamilton curve, the associated Hessian again satisfies a differential equation of the same form, but now g_2 and g_3 need not be constants of the motion: their derivatives are given by constant multiples of two covariants of the homogeneous polynomial; a syzygy relating these covariants to the Hamiltonian and its Hessian then shows that (unless the Hessian itself is constant) constancy of g_2 and g_3 forces g_2 to vanish, as in the case of a homogeneous cubic.

Hamiltonians and Hessians

We begin by fixing our conventions with regard to Hamiltonians. As a setting for our study, we take the symplectic plane with linear symplectic coordinates (p,q). Given two functions W and Z in the plane, their classical Poisson bracket $\{W,Z\}$ is their Jacobian (W,Z) with sign reversed: thus

$$\{W,Z\} := \frac{\partial W}{\partial q}\frac{\partial Z}{\partial p} - \frac{\partial W}{\partial p}\frac{\partial Z}{\partial q}$$

coincides with

$$(Z,W) := \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial Z}{\partial p} & \frac{\partial Z}{\partial q} \\ \frac{\partial W}{\partial p} & \frac{\partial W}{\partial q} \end{vmatrix}.$$

When the function U is taken as Hamiltonian, the classical Hamilton equations of motion read

$$\overset{\circ}{q} = \frac{\partial U}{\partial p}\ ,\quad -\overset{\circ}{p} = \frac{\partial U}{\partial q};$$

by a *Hamilton curve* we shall mean a solution curve to this system. The derivative of any function V along such a Hamilton curve γ is given by

$$(V\circ\gamma)^\circ = \{V,U\}\circ\gamma = (U,V)\circ\gamma.$$

We shall often write more simply

$$\overset{\circ}{V} = \{V,U\} = (U,V) = U_pV_q - U_qV_p$$

where the derivative $^\circ$ is taken along a Hamilton curve, which has been suppressed from the notation.

Throughout, our planar Hamiltonian will be a homogeneous polynomial: a (binary) *quantic* in classical terminology. The general theory of covariants and invariants for quantics was developed largely by Cayley and Sylvester in the nineteenth century; in particular, Cayley produced a sequence of ten memoirs on the topic between 1854 and 1878. Salmon [6] offers an almost contemporaneous account of this classical theory; Elliott [2] is a slightly more recent elaboration, still in the classical sprit, as is Grace and Young [3]. As we shall encounter several covariants of our quantic, we prepare the analysis by fixing our conventions regarding them.

Thus, let U be the (binary) quantic of order N given by

$$U = a_0p^N + Na_1p^{N-1}q + \frac{1}{2}N(N-1)a_2p^{N-2}q^2 + \cdots + a_Nq^N$$

where the inclusion of binomial coefficients is both traditional and simplifying. In short,

$$U = \sum_{n=0}^{N}\binom{N}{n}a_n\,p^{N-n}q^n$$

or

$$U = (a_0,\ldots,a_N)(p,q)^N$$

in notation introduced by Cayley. Although much of what follows applies quite generally, we shall suppose that $N > 4$ unless otherwise stated.

Perhaps the most important covariant associated to U is its Hessian: the determinant of its matrix of second partial derivatives, thus

$$\begin{vmatrix} U_{pp} & U_{pq} \\ U_{qp} & U_{qq} \end{vmatrix}.$$

Each differentiation brings down the order; we clear factors to normalize the Hessian and write H for its normalized form: thus

$$\begin{vmatrix} U_{pp} & U_{pq} \\ U_{qp} & U_{qq} \end{vmatrix} = N^2(N-1)^2H$$

where

$$H = (a_0a_2 - a_1^2)p^{2N-4} + (N-2)(a_0a_3 - a_1a_2)p^{2N-5}q + \ldots\ .$$

The Jacobian (U,H) of U and H is a second important covariant of U. Differentiation again introduces factors, which we remove to define the normalized covariant G: thus

$$\begin{vmatrix} U_p & U_q \\ H_p & H_q \end{vmatrix} = N(N-2)G$$

where

$$G = (a_0^2a_3 - 3a_0a_1a_2 + 2a_1^3)p^{3N-6} + \ldots\ .$$

Two further covariants associated to U arise from its quartic emanant; briefly, the details are as follows. Introduce auxiliary variables (P,Q): the fourth emanant $(P\partial_p + Q\partial_q)^4U$ of U is a quartic polynomial

$$AP^4 + 4BP^3Q + 6CP^2Q^2 + 4DPQ^3 + EQ^4$$

in (P,Q) with coefficients that are polynomials in (p,q). This quartic has familiar invariants

$$AE - 4BD + 3C^2$$

and

$$ACE + 2BCD - AD^2 - B^2E - C^3$$

which are then covariants of U. Again we normalize, defining the covariants S and T of U by

$$AE - 4BD + 3C^2 = [N(N-1)(N-2)(N-3)]^2S$$

and

$$ACE + 2BCD - AD^2 - B^2E - C^3 = [N(N-1)(N-2)(N-3)]^3T$$

where

$$S = (a_0a_4 - 4a_1a_3 + 3a_2^2)p^{2N-8} + \dots$$

and

$$T = (a_0a_2a_4 + 2a_1a_2a_3 - a_0a_3^2 - a_1^2a_4 - a_2^3)p^{3N-12} + \dots .$$

We remark that each covariant of U may be recovered from its source or leader, according to a theorem of Roberts [4]: thus, H may be recovered from its leading coefficient $a_0a_2 - a_1^2$ while G may be recovered from its leading coefficient $a_0^2a_3 - 3a_0a_1a_2 + 2a_1^3$; likewise for S and T. Discussions of this point and its consequences may be found in [2] and [6].

These (normalized) covariants H, G, S and T are related to U by the following syzygy.

Theorem 1. $G^2 + 4H^3 + U^3T = U^2SH$.

Proof. Classical: the aforementioned theorem of Roberts [4] implies that we need only check correct behaviour of sources; this check is entirely straightforward. □

The differential equation characteristic of Weierstrass $\wp$ functions is now on the verge of manifestation. To be explicit, by a *Weierstrass equation* we shall mean a first-order ordinary differential equation of the form

$$\overset{\circ}{\Phi}{}^2 = 4\Phi^3 - g_2\Phi - g_3 \tag{**DE**}$$

wherein g_2 and g_3 are functions; we shall call this Weierstrass equation *proper* when g_2 and g_3 are constant. In the proper case, introduce the discriminant $\Delta = g_2^3 - 27g_3^2$. When Δ is zero, **DE** has elementary solutions, the cubic on its right side having a repeated root. When Δ is nonzero, the nonconstant solutions of **DE** are Weierstrass $\wp$ functions.

Now, a Weierstrass equation emerges from a simple rescaling of the Hessian.

Theorem 2. *The scalar multiple*

$$\Phi = -[N(N-2)]^2H$$

of the Hessian satisfies the first-order differential equation

$$\overset{\circ}{\Phi}{}^2 = 4\Phi^3 - N^4(N-2)^4U^2S\Phi - N^6(N-2)^6U^3T$$

along each Hamilton curve of U.

Proof. As usual, we suppress notation for the Hamilton curve, differentiation of Φ along which results in

$$\overset{\circ}{\Phi} = -[N(N-2)]^2\overset{\circ}{H} = -[N(N-2)]^2(U,H) = -[N(N-2)]^3G$$

whence we deduce that

$$\overset{\circ}{\Phi}{}^2 = [N(N-2)]^6G^2.$$

Substitution from Theorem 1 and rearrangement conclude the argument. □

This differential equation has the Weierstrass form **DE**:

$$\overset{\circ}{\Phi}{}^2 = 4\Phi^3 - g_2\Phi - g_3$$

where

$$g_2 = [N^2(N-2)^2U]^2S$$

and

$$g_3 = [N^2(N-2)^2U]^3T.$$

Here, the covariants Φ, g_2 and g_3 are evaluated along the Hamilton curve; in general, g_2 and g_3 need not be constant.

Our primary concern is with those situations in which this Weierstrass equation is proper: those situations in which g_2 and g_3 are *constant* along the Hamilton curve. Of course, U itself is constant along each Hamilton curve: indeed,

$$\overset{\circ}{U} = (U,U) = 0.$$

If this constant value of U is zero, then $g_2 = g_3 = 0$ and the differential equation **DE** simplifies, its nonzero solutions being inverse squares. Discounting this case in which U is zero, we are interested in a Hamilton curve along which the covariants S and T are constant.

Naturally, we detect constancy along the Hamilton curve by differentiation. Entirely routine computations reveal that along each Hamilton curve,

$$\overset{\circ}{S} = (U,S) = N(N-4)[S_0 p^{3N-10} + \cdots]$$

and

$$\overset{\circ}{T} = (U,T) = N(N-4)[T_0 p^{4N-14} + \cdots]$$

where

$$S_0 = a_0^2a_5 - 5a_0a_1a_4 + 2a_0a_2a_3 + 8a_1^2a_3 - 6a_1a_2^2$$

and

$$T_0 = a_0^2a_2a_5 - a_0^2a_3a_4 - a_0a_1^2a_5 - 2a_0a_1a_2a_4 + 4a_0a_1a_3^2 - a_0a_2^2a_3 + 3a_1^3a_4 - 6a_1^2a_2a_3 + 3a_1a_2^3.$$

Accordingly, we focus our attention on the Jacobian covariants (U,S) and (U,T).

A useful alternative expression for (U,T) is as follows.

Theorem 3. $2(N-2)(U,T) = N(H,S)$.

Proof. By direct calculation of the Jacobian determinants; once again, the work is simplified by the circumstance that only sources need be checked. □

Any three (binary) quantics are related by an elementary syzygy that is now perhaps less well known than it should be.

Theorem 4. *If X,Y,Z are quantics of orders ℓ,m,n then*

$$\ell X(Y,Z) + mY(Z,X) + nZ(X,Y) = 0.$$

Proof. By virtue of the Euler theorem on homogeneous functions, $pZ_p + qZ_q = nZ$ with similar equations for X and Y. It follows that

$$nZ(X,Y) = (pZ_p + qZ_q)(X_pY_q - X_qY_p)$$

with similar expressions for $\ell X(Y,Z)$ and $mY(Z,X)$. Summing,

$$\ell X(Y,Z) + mY(Z,X) + nZ(X,Y) = \lambda p + \mu q$$

where

$$\lambda = \begin{vmatrix} X_p & X_p & X_q \\ Y_p & Y_p & Y_q \\ Z_p & Z_p & Z_q \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

and

$$\mu = \begin{vmatrix} X_q & X_p & X_q \\ Y_q & Y_p & Y_q \\ Z_q & Z_p & Z_q \end{vmatrix} = 0.$$

□

We note here that Grace and Young [3] normalize the Jacobian as a transvectant: in Section 77, their Jacobian of X and Y is ours divided by ℓm; with their normalization, Theorem 4 simply asserts that

$$X(Y,Z) + Y(Z,X) + Z(X,Y) = 0.$$

A special consequence of this quite general result is the following syzygy between covariants of U.

Theorem 5. $(N-4)\,(U,H)\,S = (N-2)\,[(U,S)\,H - (U,T)\,U]$.

Proof. Invoke Theorem 4 in case $X = H$ (of order $2N-4$), $Y = S$ (of order $2N-8$), $Z = U$ (of order N); apply Theorem 3 to replace $N(H,S)$ by $2(N-2)(U,T)$ and rearrange. □

Note that if these covariants are evaluated along a Hamilton curve γ then

$$(N-4)\overset{\circ}{H}S = (N-2)[\overset{\circ}{S}H - \overset{\circ}{T}U]$$

on account of the circumstance that differentiation along γ is given by $\overset{\circ}{V} = (U,V)$.

We may now return to Theorem 2 and the Weierstrass equation **DE** for the rescaled Hessian Φ: thus,

$$\overset{\circ}{\Phi}{}^2 = 4\Phi^3 - g_2\Phi - g_3$$

where $g_2 = [N^2(N-2)^2U]^2S$ and $g_3 = [N^2(N-2)^2U]^3T$. Recall that the differential equation is run along a Hamilton curve γ and that we suppose the constant value of U to be nonzero. This Weierstrass equation is proper precisely when g_2 and g_3 are constant: thus, precisely when S and T are constant; so, precisely when their derivatives (U,S) and (U,T) are constantly zero. Here, constancy is of course along the Hamilton curve γ.

At this point, the syzygy of Theorem 5 exerts its influence. As $N > 4$, the simultaneous vanishing of (U,S) and (U,T) forces the product $(U,H)S$ to vanish along γ. If the constant value of S is nonzero, then the derivative (U,H) vanishes along γ so that Φ is constant, its value Φ_0 satisfying the cubic $4\Phi_0^3 = g_2\Phi_0 + g_3$. Consequently, if the (proper) Weierstrass equation considered here has a nonconstant solution then the constant value of S (and hence of g_2) is zero. In this connexion, it is interesting to note that g_2 is zero for cubic Hamiltonians but can be nonzero for quartic Hamiltonians; see [5] for this.

These findings may be summarized as follows. The Weierstrass equation of Theorem 2 is proper along the Hamiltonian curve γ precisely when the covariants (U,S) and (U,T) vanish along γ. In such a case, if the (rescaled) Hessian Φ is nonconstant along γ then the constant value of S (hence of g_2) is zero; moreover, a nonconstant Φ will be a Weierstrass $\wp$ function when the constant value of T is nonzero.

As a special case, if we insist that the covariants (U,S) and (U,T) themselves vanish as quantics, then the Weierstrass equation of Theorem 2 is proper along each Hamilton curve; in this special case, only along those Hamilton curves that originate (and hence remain) in the zero-set of S can Φ be a Weierstrass $\wp$ function.

In general, given the quantic U as Hamiltonian, a Hamilton curve γ is determined by its initial point γ_0; the question whether or not the covariants (U,S) and (U,T) are zero along γ is therefore decided by γ_0. The task of elucidating this matter is reserved for a future publication.

The syzygy in Theorem 5 is only one of many involving the covariants associated to a quantic; the influence of other syzygies is also reserved for a subsequent study.

We should comment on the origin of the Hessian H within the Hamiltonian theory for the quantic U. Along a Hamilton curve,

$$\overset{\circ}{q} = \frac{\partial U}{\partial p}\ ,\quad -\overset{\circ}{p} = \frac{\partial U}{\partial q}$$

whence a further differentiation produces

$$\overset{\circ\circ}{p} = U_qU_{qp} - U_{qq}U_p\ ,\quad \overset{\circ\circ}{q} = U_pU_{pq} - U_{pp}U_q.$$

The Euler equation applied to the homogeneous functions U_p and U_q yields

$$pU_{pp} + qU_{pq} = (N-1)U_p\ ,\ \ pU_{qp} + qU_{qq} = (N-1)U_q.$$

Isolation of p and q separately results in

$$(N-1)[U_qU_{qp} - U_{qq}U_p] = -[U_{pp}U_{qq} - U_{pq}U_{qp}]\,p$$

and

$$(N-1)[U_pU_{pq} - U_{pp}U_q] = -[U_{pp}U_{qq} - U_{pq}U_{qp}]\,q.$$

Expressing our conclusion in terms of the normalized Hessian,

$$\overset{\circ\circ}{p} = -[N^2(N-1)H]\,p$$

and

$$\overset{\circ\circ}{q} = -[N^2(N-1)H]\,q.$$

Stripping the coordinates,

$$\overset{\circ\circ}{\gamma} = -[N^2(N-1)H]\gamma.$$

In terms of the rescaled Hessian Φ this differential equation assumes the form

$$\overset{\circ\circ}{\gamma} = \Big[\frac{N-1}{(N-2)^2}\,\Phi\Big]\gamma.$$

In particular, when Φ is a Weierstrass $\wp$ function, this is a Lamé equation

$$\overset{\circ\circ}{\gamma} = n(n+1)\,\wp\gamma$$

with parameter $n = 1/(N-2)$ that is not integral (unless $N = 3$).

We should perhaps also comment on the origin of this paper. It began as a continuation of [5] devoted solely to the case of homogeneous quintic polynomials, with only [6] as a reference. In this context, we found the syzygy of Theorem 1 by hand, not by consulting [6]; indeed, this syzygy for quintics does not find its way explicitly into [6]. We then turned to the work of Cayley: this syzygy appears in his eighth memoir and is listed in Table 89 of his ninth memoir [1] as $A^3D - A^2BC + 4C^3 + F^2 = 0$; in fact, it appeared previously on page 173 of [4] but with a sign change in the Hessian. The syzygy of Theorem 5 for quintics already occurs in the second memoir of Cayley; it is listed in Table 89 of [1] as $AI + BF - CE = 0$. Our proof of this syzygy follows a suggestion in Section 194 of [6].

References

[1] A. Cayley, *A Ninth Memoir on Quantics*, Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London, **161** 17-50 (1871).

[2] E.B. Elliott, *An Introduction to the Algebra of Quantics*, Oxford University Press, Second Edition (1913).

[3] J.H. Grace and A. Young, *The Algebra of Invariants*, Cambridge University Press (1903).

[4] M. Roberts, *On the covariants of a binary quantic of the n^{th} degree*, Quarterly Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics **IV** 168-178 (1861).

[5] P.L. Robinson, *Cayley-Sylvester invariants and the Hamilton equations*, arXiv 1505.02273 (2015).

[6] G. Salmon, *Lessons Introductory to the Modern Higher Algebra*, Hodges, Figgis and Company, Fourth Edition (1885).

Department of Mathematics, University of Florida, Gainesville FL 32611 USA
E-mail address: paulr@ufl.edu